

**Issue:** \$20 Million is proposed for rebuilding Abram street, with a \$3.5 Million bridge between Collins and 360. This is 20-25% of the total bond package!!! (has not been removed yet and the City Council "supports" it, so we need to speak loudly and in numbers about this ...to so the funding can be redirected to SE Arlington)

**Our Position:** While we understand the traffic issues that the new Cowboys Stadium might create, we already spent \$325 Million dollars on that project. Let's be reasonable with our capital spending and include only the \$6.5 Million to rebuilt it from Stadium to 360. this would free up money to spend on SE Arlington parks and Mansfield Road completion from Silo to NY.

**Arguments:** We just spent \$325 Million dollars on the cowboys stadium. This construction would cause major delays and inconvenience during the NFL SuperBowl. \$3.5 Million for a bridge seems expensive. Can't the TIF money from the Cowboys Stadium, Rangers Stadium, and Glory park pay for this, sense they are the areas that this will really serve? (Rhetorical question)

**Issue:** Mansfield Web from Matlock to New York was removed (\$20 Million Dollars) from the bond list.

✓ **Our Position:** We want to request that Mansfield Web be added back to the bond. At least from Silo to New York (\$9 Million Dollars)

**Our Arguments:** There are no sidewalks to get to Web Community Park, Gideon Elementary, or the churches. The kids are forced to dodge cars while walking on the street to school, church, and the parks. The street is congested in the mornings and evenings. This congestion is perilous to the fire station on Mansfield Web and inconvenient for the expansive growth in SE Arlington.

**Issue:** Phase IV of Web Community Park (near Silo and Mansfield Web) is up for consideration (has not been removed yet)

**Our Position:** We support Web Community Park. Not only do we want this funding included in the bond, we want it increased.

**Our Arguments:** SE Arlington had the highest percentage of population during the 2000 census. Since 2000, we have seen tremendous growth in SE Arlington, but no parks infrastructure to support the growth. North Arlington has received twice the allotment of parks spending during the past 10 years, and we need this type of investment in SE Arlington.

**Issue:** \$750K for new Park Land in SE Arlington is proposed (has not been removed yet)

**Our Position:** SE Arlington is in a park deficit. We need this allocation increased and included in the bond election.

✓ **Our Arguments:** SE Arlington had the highest percentage of population during the 2000 census. Since 2000, we have seen tremendous growth in SE Arlington, but not the parks infrastructure to Support the growth. North Arlington has received twice the allotment of parks spending during the past 10 years, we need this type of investment South of I20.

**Issue:** \$300K for Pocket parks (little playgrounds on the linear park trails) is included is proposed (has not been removed yet)

**Our Position:** We need parks! Grand Prairie's implementation of this is a great example. Lets do this!

**Our Arguments:** We have the land in our linear park system. These are inexpensive. These encourage our kids to walk/ride the trails and live a healthy lifestyle. These provide security to the linear parks by bringing larger groups of people along the trails.

Judi Raines